September 20, 2019

Honorable Xavier Becerra  
Attorney General  
California Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 944255  
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550

Honorable Jackie Lacey  
Los Angeles County District Attorney  
211 West Temple Street, Suite 1200  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Honorable George Gascón  
San Francisco County District Attorney  
850 Bryant Street, Room 322  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Honorable Anne Marie Schubert  
Sacramento County District Attorney  
901 G Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE:  New Evidence Warranting Investigation Into Money Laundering and Bribery  
By Insurance Commissioner Ricardo Lara

As you know, for several months California Insurance Commissioner Ricardo Lara has been embroiled in a pay-to-play scandal amidst allegations that he traded campaign contributions from insurance companies for favorable treatment on policy matters pending before the Department of Insurance. The scandal has led policymakers, editorial board editors and other thought leaders, and the public, to question whether Commissioner Lara has broken the law and whether he will be able to finish his term as Insurance Commissioner. Department staff report dismal morale that has taxed the ability of the state’s largest administrative agency to effectively oversee California’s $310 billion insurance industry.
Only you can lift this cloud by using your investigatory powers to either prove Commissioner Lara’s innocence or prosecute Commissioner Lara for any crimes he may have committed. The people of California require your intervention, as does Commissioner Lara. The people of California deserve transparency and certainty as to whether our elected leaders are living up to the trust the voting public has invested in them. Commissioner Lara deserves to have his name cleared if he is innocent of any wrongdoing.

On September 6, we wrote to you with evidence that California Insurance Commissioner Ricardo Lara may have broken the law by using public funds for his personal benefit by representing expenses for his second home in Sacramento as a legitimate public expense. Today we write with new evidence warranting your investigation into Commissioner Lara regarding bribery and money laundering. The compelling circumstantial evidence, which is summarized below and provided in detail in the attached, can be divided into three categories:

1. **Fundraising While Policymaking.** In response to Public Records Act requests, Consumer Watchdog has uncovered email and calendar entries that irrefutably demonstrate that Commissioner Lara, Lara’s political fundraiser Dan Weitzman, Department staff, and principals of insurance companies with business pending before the Department simultaneously discussed fundraising and policymaking. Eric Serna—a former New Mexico insurance commissioner who resigned following a campaign finance scandal—is the apparent intermediary of principals for a Berkshire-Hathaway workers’ compensation insurance company and another company involved in “short-term” health insurance. The evidence shows Serna arranged and attended March meetings with Lara and his staff including both the buyer and seller of the Berkshire-Hathaway subsidiary to discuss the company’s change of ownership pending approval by the Department of Insurance and fundraising for Commissioner Lara’s 2022 re-election campaign. Department correspondence from early March paint a troubling picture of Weitzman, Serna and Lara’s involvement in meetings and correspondence mixing official Department decisions and fundraising with Serna and the workers’ compensation insurance company’s principals.

2. **Money Laundering.** As we noted in our previous letter, Insurance Commissioner Lara has been involved in a pay-to-play scandal for taking $54,300 in insurance company campaign contributions from insurers with business before the Department of Insurance, in violation of a pledge not to do so. Statewide news coverage has documented that family members of insurance company executives gave large contributions to Commissioner Lara in an apparent effort to hide the true source of the funds. Following the contributions, Commissioner Lara intervened on behalf of one of the insurance companies, Applied Underwriting, the Berkshire-Hathaway subsidiary discussed above, in at least four proceedings at the Department of Insurance, which plaintiffs’ attorneys estimate could save the company hundreds of millions of dollars. In addition to
the compelling evidence reflected in the attached timeline, the fact that Commissioner Lara pledged to not take campaign contributions from insurance companies suggests he had motive to help cover up the true sources of the money.

New evidence described in the attached document demonstrates that Insurance Commissioner Lara’s hand-picked replacement for his former California Senate seat, Lena Gonzalez, also received $25,000 in campaign contributions from individuals linked to Applied Underwriting. Shortly after receiving the contributions, Senator Gonzalez paid an almost identical amount—$24,210—to Commissioner Lara’s political fundraiser, Dan Weitzman. All the insurance company campaign contributions—including those to Commissioner Lara and Senator Gonzalez—came from individuals closely linked to Steve Menzies, the current president of Applied Underwriters, and the new owner of an Applied subsidiary pending approval of the sale by Commissioner Lara.

3. **Misrepresentations by Lara.** Commissioner Lara had previously claimed to have no knowledge of the campaign contributions and apparent efforts of Applied Underwriters and Steve Menzies to curry preferential treatment of the sale and the four administrative law matters in front of the Department. Lara later fired Weitzman and apologized for the breach of trust, which he blamed on a lack of campaign oversight, not his intentional participation. However, newly discovered photographs demonstrate that Commissioner Lara met with Eric Serna in Santa Fe, New Mexico, days before the first troubling correspondence mixing campaign support and official decision-making were sent and before the tainted contributions flowed.

These unfounded facts raise serious questions: Did Steve Menzies, new owner of the Applied subsidiary in the pending sale, launder contributions through Senator Gonzalez and others in order to hide their true source and avoid campaign spending limits? Did Commissioner Lara receive any of the money paid by Senator Gonzalez to Dan Weitzman? Were the contributions intended to influence Commissioner Lara’s approval of the pending sale, actions that constitute a bribe under state law?

**Money Laundering**

The 1974 Political Reform Act (“Act”) was approved by the voters as a means of “preventing corruption and undue influence in political campaigns and governmental activities.” (*People v. Snyder* (2000) 22 Cal.4th 304, 307.) Among other provisions, the Act prohibits “what is commonly termed money laundering” (*id.*), i.e. contributions made in such a way as to conceal their true source. (Gov. Code § 84301.) “No person convicted of a misdemeanor under this title shall be a candidate for any elective office or act as a lobbyist for a period of four years following the date of the conviction unless the court at the time of sentencing specifically determines that this provision shall not be applicable.” (Gov. Code § 91002.)
The facts and timing of the campaign contributions to Senator Gonzalez and Commissioner Lara via family members of insurance company executives suggest that Steve Menzies directed the contributions to Insurance Commissioner Lara. Moreover, that many of the campaign contributors reside out of state and most had never before made a campaign contribution to any politician also suggests that Steve Menzies, or someone else, was the true source of the funds.

**Bribery**

A public official who “asks, receives, or agrees to receive, any bribe, upon any agreement or understanding that his or her vote, opinion, or action upon any matter then pending, or that may be brought before him or her in his or her official capacity, shall be influenced thereby, is punishable by imprisonment in the state prison for two, three, or four years . . . .” (Penal Code § 68.) “[B]ribery does not require that a specific official action be pending when the bribe is given, or that there be proof that the bribe was intended to influence any particular such act.” (People v. Gaio (2000) 81 Cal.App.4th 919, 929.) Further, reference in the Penal Code to the recipient’s “agreement or understanding” to be influenced does not connote an extrinsic agreement with the giver, but rather the recipient’s own intent (id. at n.8); a meeting of the minds is not required to establish agreement to take a bribe. (People v. Vollmann (1946) 73 Cal.App.2d 769, 788.) Therefore, “[i]t is not necessary that there be an understanding, in the sense of an agreement, with the person unlawfully approached but merely an understanding on the part of the bribe seeker himself that his official action shall be influenced.” (People v. Kerns (1935) 9 Cal.App.2d 72, 75.)

An elected official could also be guilty of extortion if he or she wrongfully uses his or her public position to obtain a personal benefit. (Penal Code § 518.) Under federal law, the public has the right to the “honest services” of public officials. This right is violated when a public official makes a decision that is not motivated by the public’s interest but instead by his or her personal interest. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1343.)

As noted above, Commissioner Lara previously made public statements claiming to be unaware of the campaign contributions from insurance company executives and their family members. However, the evidence that Commissioner Lara met with Eric Serna in Santa Fe before the campaign contributions were made and before a series of meetings between Serna, Lara, Menzies, Weitzman, Department staff and potentially Gonzalez, mixing fundraising and discussions of the pending sale of the Applied subsidiary, calls that claim into doubt. The fact that Lara flew to Santa Fe and met with Serna, just days before an email mixing Department decisions and fundraising, suggests Lara, not Weitzman, was approached directly about the company’s “pay to play” propositions.

The evidence suggests that not only did Commissioner Lara know about the campaign contributions, but that the contributors sought preferential treatment on the
pending merger and four proceedings before the Department.

Only an investigation by the office of a public prosecutor can compel answers about whether the insurance commissioner engaged in criminal activity. No public official should be above the law. All state workers should know that every state employee, including elected officials, are held accountable to the law.

Please contact us if we can assist in your investigation.

Sincerely,

Jamie Court
President

Jerry Flanagan
Litigation Director
NEWS RELEASE
September 19, 2019

Contact: Jamie Court (310) 874-9989
Carmen Balber (310) 403-0284

Release of Lara Calendar and Public Records Suggest Lara Made First Contact In “Pay to Play” Insurance Scandal, Raises Questions About Cleansing of Calendar and Lena Gonzalez Role

Los Angeles, CA -- Calendar entries, documents, and newly uncovered photographs reveal that Insurance Commissioner Ricardo Lara, not his fundraiser, made first contact with an agent of a workers compensation insurance company offering political support in conjunction with seeking approval for a change of control in the company.

New records, produced under the Public Records Act, appear incomplete and suggest Lara is not being fully forthcoming about his meetings. For example, the only email produced from Lara himself is one sent to Consumer Watchdog’s president. Lara did not produce his texts, but a phone record from a Department official includes Lara’s response approving a key meeting with principals in the scandal. Consumer Watchdog asked the Department to provide a privilege log of all the calendar entries and documents withheld to assess a potential Public Records Act challenge.

In addition, the records implicate a new political figure in the scandal, Lara’s handpicked-successor for his state senate seat Senator Lena Gonzalez.

Documents show that former New Mexico Superintendent of Insurance Eric Serna, who resigned in disgrace in the wake of a pay-to-play fundraising scandal, tried to broker Lara’s approval of the sale of Berkshire Hathaway-controlled California Insurance Company to his associate Steve Menzies, while offering fundraising and political assistance to Lara.

Serna is considered the Chuck Quackenbush of New Mexico. He resigned in 2006 as New Mexico Superintendent of Insurance under a cloud, including an attorney general investigation into a state contract Serna awarded to a Santa Fe bank that gave $129,000 to a charity that Serna founded. The chair of the state Public Regulation Commission cited “the continued entanglements with his private interests and his official duties” as the reason for his retirement.

Newly-uncovered photographs of a taxpayer-funded trip Lara took to New Mexico reveal Serna and Lara were together in late February in Santa Fe. The Santa Fe meetings were prior to March email communiques between Serna and Lara’s fundraising consultant, Dan Weitzman, that ignited the fundraising scandal and led to the firing of Weitzman and an apology from Lara. The photographs place Lara on the ground floor of the pay-to-play scandal with his arms around its kingpin, even though Lara claimed his fundraiser was to
blame and he did not make the inappropriate overtures.


Lara did not disclose the February contact with Serna in his Public Record Act release of his calendar of meetings Friday. Lara’s apology stated that “my campaign operation scheduled meetings and solicited campaign contributions that did not fall in line with commitments I made to refuse contributions from the insurance industry.” Yet, the photographs of Lara and Serna at an immigrant rights group event that Lara’s calendar notes he attended demonstrate Lara has not been fully forthcoming.

Evidence shows Serna arranged and attended March meetings with Lara and his staff including both the buyer and seller of the workers compensation insurance company to discuss the company’s change of ownership approval and fundraising for “relationship building” for the Lara 2022 campaign. Serna is general counsel for Nelson Taplin Goldwater Consultants (NTG), an insurance consulting group whose Chairman is Barry Goldwater Jr., where his associates, related entities and son donated $23,500 in 2018 to the Lara campaign, donations that Lara has not returned.

Department correspondence involving Serna from early March paint a troubling picture of the mixing of official decisions and fundraising. However, the newly released calendar and Public Record Act responses show that taxpayers paid for Lara’s travel to and lodging in Santa Fe, where Serna is based, from February 25th – February 27th, just days before the troubling March fundraising emails. Lara’s calendars list only two events for which taxpayers picked up Lara’s Santa-Fe bills: a celebration at an immigration rights group, Somos Un Pueblo Unido, and an insurance panel the next morning.
Photographs of the immigrant rights group event, uncovered from an event photographer's online gallery, show Lara spent that evening arm and arm with Serna, who introduced him from the podium and gave him an award. See the photographs here: https://consumerwatchdog.org/ricardo-lara-pictures (A separate photo gallery from the same photographer show Serna as central figure in a July celebration with Steve Menzies.)

Serna appears to be connective tissue in the Lara scandal. According to the recently released calendars, Serna and Lara had dinner in New York on April 10th, six days before the questionable donations flowed to "Lara 2022" from associates and relatives affiliated with insurance companies, mostly related to Menzies. Weitzman was also in New York and reimbursed by "Lara 2022" at the time. One mysterious donor not related to Menzies, Texas homemaker Darlene Graber, who gave $7800 to Lara, lives with Larry Graber, the head of an insurance company that sells "short term" health insurance policies banned in California. Lara's calendars state Serna met with Lara about "short term" health policies on January 30th and the April 10th dinner with Lara was with Serna and health insurers.

The fact that Lara flew to Santa Fe and met with Serna, just days before the unseemly March emails mixing Department decisions and fundraising began, suggest Lara, not Weitzman, was approached directly with a “pay to play” proposition. It also shows the release of Lara’s calendars, which were heavily expurgated, may not reveal the full picture of his actual dealings relevant to the fundraising scheme.

One day after Lara's return from Santa Fe on March 1st, Lara's fundraiser Dan Weitzman, Lara and his Department staff exchanged emails discussing meetings with the Menzies team about both fundraising and about California Insurance Company's change of ownership. The pending change will have to be approved by September 30th, according to Department statements.

Lara later attended a March 12th fundraising meeting described as the Berkshire Hathaway lunch meeting “to benefit Ricardo Lara for Insurance Commissioner 2022” at Camden, Spit & Larder in Sacramento. Meeting attendees: Lara, Steve Menzies CEO Applied Underwriters, Jeff Silver Applied Underwriters counsel, Jamie Sahara Chairman of Cayman Islands-based United Insurance Company, Camille Alcala California Democratic Party Deputy Director of Finance), Dan Weitzman, Eric Serna.


On the same day, March 12th, information in a follow-up internal California of Department of Insurance (CDI) email thread describes “the highlights of what was discussed” in a meeting with staff members and is heavily redacted. Jeff Silver, a lawyer for the Berkshire-Hathaway subsidiaries, sent a follow-up email to Department employees saying “thank you for taking the time to visit with Eric, Steve Menzies and me concerning the soon to be filed Form A for California Insurance Company. We look forward to working with you and your staff to meet the September 30, 3019 deadline.” [Form A is the paperwork seeking Lara’s
approval of the acquisition of Applied Underwriters by Steve Menzies and United Insurance.]

Lena Gonzalez Received $25K From Menzies Associates, Pays Weitzman

Ten days following the lunch meeting, on March 22nd, board members and a relative of a board member of Menzies-controlled Constitution Insurance Company sent $25,000 in campaign contributions to Lara ally and hand-picked state Senate successor Lena Gonzalez. According to campaign donation records compiled by the National Institute on Money in State Politics, none had previously donated in California political campaigns. All are on the board of directors, or related to a board member, of Menzies’ Constitution Insurance Company, whose board overlaps with Applied Underwriters and California Insurance Company. Another $46,500 in campaign contributions were made to Lara by individuals connected to Menzies through Constitution Insurance Company, donations at the center of the fundraising scandal embroiling Lara. Lara returned those contributions. The $25,000 to Gonzalez came from:

- Contributor Xiaoyun A. Hu, of Burlingame, California, is identified as a manager of the Constitution Group by the SOS. He is also a member of the Board of Directors of Constitution Insurance Company. Hu contributed $8,500 to Gonzalez on March 22, 2019.
- Contributor Pei H. Hu, apparently a relative of Xiaoyun A. Hu, is identified as a manager of the King Chuan Restaurant in SOS records. She contributed $8,000 to Gonzalez on March 22, 2019.
- Contributor Katy Van Horn, of Castro Valley, CA, is identified by the SOS as a business owner. She is also a member of the Board of Directors of Constitution Insurance Company. Van Horn contributed $8,500 to Gonzalez on March 22, 2019.

A day after the contributions were made to Lena Gonzalez, on March 23rd, Lara’s Facebook page shows he participated in GOTV events for Gonzalez in Long Beach. Three days after the contributions, on March 25th, Lena Gonzalez for Senate 2019 paid Lara fundraiser Dan Weitzman $12,000 for campaign consulting. On June 10th, Lena Gonzalez for Senate 2019 paid Dan Weitzman another $12,000 for campaign consulting. In total, Gonzalez has paid Weitzman $24,000, almost exactly the amount she received in campaign contributions from people connected to Menzies, including an extra $210 paid for expenses on April 20th.

The contributions raise serious questions: Did Lara receive any of the money paid by Gonzalez to Weitzman? Did Steve Menzies, new owner of California Insurance Company in the pending sale, launder contributions through Gonzalez and board members of the interlocking companies in order to hide their true source and avoid campaign spending limits? Were the contributions intended to influence Lara’s approval of the pending sale, actions that would constitute a bribe under state law?

Gonzalez’s name surfaces again in an April 24th text message to Lara from a Department employee’s phone (Lara did not produce his texts), seeking to schedule a lunch in May with "Lena Gonzales and Steve Menzies." Lara replies "OK." A subsequent May 1st email from
Jamie Sahara CEO of Cayman Islands-based United Insurance, the buyer in the California Insurance Company deal, is the most vivid example of policy discussions alongside fundraising. Sahara's company is in the middle of the Berkshire/Applied Underwriters/Menzies sale – as it entered into a stock purchase agreement with Berkshire to buy Applied & transfer control to Menzies.

In the below email from a chain between Jamie Sahara, David Green Special Assistant at the Department of Insurance, and Dan Weitzman, Sahara discusses lunch (presumably the same lunch Lara received the text about) between Weitzman, Gonzalez, Menzies, Sahara and Lara:

“We would like to schedule two meetings with the Commissioner:

1. Formal policy discussion with the Commissioner to discuss the California Insurance Company.

2. Political meeting with the Commissioner, Lena Gonzalez, and Dan Weitzman”
View the email: https://www.consumerwatchdog.org/sites/default/files/2019-09/PRA2019-00555CDIMeetings47.pdf:

While that one email suggests two meetings, only one appears to be scheduled. Records reflect a lunch meeting on May 6, 2019, from 12:00 – 2:00pm at NoMad in Los Angeles, with Steve Menzies & Jamie Sahara; Dan Weitzman may also have been in attendance; multiple records appear to notify other people who may or may not have attended: Catalina Hayes-Bautista (Lara’s CDI chief-of-staff), Angela Lizarraga (formerly a member of Lara’s Senate staff), Roberta Potter (Lara’s CDI appointment scheduler).

Why Lara’s fundraiser, Weitzman, was involved in multiple meetings about official Department business is another troubling issue for Lara. It suggests that official Department decisions are being discussed simultaneously to fundraising and that one is being leveraged for the other.

In addition, at least four decisions were made by Administrative Law Judges against the workers compensation insurer Menzies sought to buy, then overturned in the company’s favor by Lara, in the midst of these meetings and email communications with the Berkshire-Hathaway team, and as contributions from them were flowing covertly through Menzies’ associates and their relatives.

“The new documents suggest state laws involving money laundering, bribery and misuse of public monies may have been violated, raising the stakes for a state prosecutor or the Attorney General to investigate this troubling circumstantial evidence and find out what was said and promised in these meetings,” said Jamie Court, president of Consumer Watchdog.

View the calendar records produced under the Public Records Act: https://consumerwatchdog.org/sites/default/files/2019-09/PRALaraCalendarJan-Aug_0.pdf

View the communications mixing fundraising with Department business: https://consumerwatchdog.org/sites/default/files/2019-09/PRAAppliedCommunications.pdf